

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
_	10/736,882	12/16/2003		David L. Poole	87286D1	5731	
	24628	7590	09/01/2004		EXAMINER		_
	WELSH & I	KATZ, L	LTD	NGUYEN, ANTHONY H			
	120 S RIVER	SIDE PL	AZA		4 D.T. I.D. 1177	DADED MED OPEN	•
	22ND FLOO	R			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CHICAGO	II. 6060	6		2854		

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/736,882	POOLE, DAVID L.	POOLE, DAVID L.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Anthony H Nguyen	2854	رسهم	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ess	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comn ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 I	December 2003.			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Thi	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			nerits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyan ction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National St	age .	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] Internitory	tummany (PTO 442)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	tummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15 	52)	

Art Unit: 2854

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I) Figures 2-4b.
- II) Figures 5a and 5b.
- III) Figure 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number: 10/736,882 Page 3

Art Unit: 2854

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2169. The examiner can normally be reached daily from 9 AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld, can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Anthony Nguyen

8/31/04

Suthony

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800